

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/693,530	Applicant(s) RIESCHICK, DAVID L.	
	Examiner Thomas A. Morrison	Art Unit 3653	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas A. Morrison. (3) _____

(2) Mr. David P. Maivald (Reg. No. 42,831). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 12 and 18-21.


Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Maivald (applicant's representative) contacted the examiner on 9/10/07 to see if applicant could take the allowable subject matter by incorporating the limitations of claims 18 and 19 into claim 12 via examiner's amendment. The examiner agreed to add the limitations of claims 18 and 19 to claim 12 and cancel claims 18 and 19 by examiner's amendment. The parties also agreed to amend the dependencies of claims 20 and 21 so that such claims properly depend from claim 12. These changes are included in the attached examiner's amendment.